

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,877 09/		09/10/2003	Tadgh Eisirt Casey	500-148 PCT/US/CON 2 (ICC	3209
23869	7590	12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN 6900 JERIC		•	LEE, JONG SUK		
SYOSSET, NY 11791				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•		3673	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	ition No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/658,877	CASEY ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit					
			ong-Suk (James) Lee	3673					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this combination of the reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT! s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cal	E OF THIS COMMUN i). In no event, however, may a upply and will expire SIX (6) MO use the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 June</u>	2005.						
2a)□	•		tion is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accept	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	niner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/6/05</u> .		5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (P1	Г О-152)				

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a response/request for reconsideration filed June 10, 2005.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 6, lines 3-4: "the dispenser of dispenser" should be -- the dispenser --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-5, 8, 11, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Application Publication'446 (DE 2900446 A) in view of Donovan (US

Art Unit: 3673

5,560,377).

German Patent Application Publication'446 discloses a screwed pipe joint sealing material bobbin winding long-fiber string comprising the inherent method steps comprising providing a dispenser (3) having a joint-sealing material packaged therein, removing a portion of the joint-sealing material (1) from the dispenser, and applying the portion of the joint-sealing material to threads of a first threaded male component (G) of the threaded assembly (R) (see Figs. 1-2; English Translated Abstract).

However, German Patent Application Publication'446 fails to disclose or fairly suggest the joint-sealing material comprising a multifilament yarn and a joint-sealing composition ready coated over the yarn.

Donovan discloses a dental floss/sealing material comprising of a composite of a multifilament yarn bonded to an extruded monofilament, both element are made of polymer compounds, preferably Dupont multifilament polyamide nylon (see col.4, lines 44-54) (see Figs. 1-4; col.2, lines 62-68; col.3, lines 1-61).

Therefore, in view of Donovan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the joint-sealing material with the dental floss/sealing material in order to enhance the sealing effect and endurablility for the joint the sealing element.

With respect to the sealing composition viscosity such as between 20,000 mPas and 500,000 mPas, an artisan within the ordinary skill in the art would have provided such a range of viscosity for the composition while in producing the sealing composition in order to optimize the sealing effect.

Art Unit: 3673

5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Application Publication'446, as modified by Donovan, as applied to claim 1, further in view of Dolan et al (US 5,607,050). The teachings of German Patent Application Publication'446 modified by Donovan have been discussed above.

However, the teachings of German Patent Application Publication'446 modified by Donovan fails to disclose or fairly suggest the dispenser having an aperture and a closure means to close the aperture and cutting element for cutting the portion of the joint-sealing material.

Dolan et al. disclose a floss dispenser comprising of a cylindrical body/wall (14) having a top portion comprising of a cutter (54) for cutting the joint-sealing material, an aperture (46) for pulling the floss therethrough and a closure means (58) as depicted in Fig. 3 (see Figs. 1-7; col.3, lines 43-67; col.4, lines 1-64).

Therefore, in view of Dolan et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the dispenser of German'446 as modified by Donovan, by replacing with the dispenser as taught by Dolan et al. in order to protect the sealing material thereinside.

6. Claims 9, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent Application Publication'446, as modified by Donovan, as applied to claim 8 and 12, respectively, further in view of Marini et al (US 5,804,290). The teachings of German Patent Application Publication'446 modified by Donovan have been discussed above.

However, the teachings of German Patent Application Publication'446 modified by Donovan fails to disclose or fairly suggest a filler of the joint sealing material.

Art Unit: 3673

Marini et al discloses a filler for the dental floss having a polyetrafluorethylene containing a high temperature resistant polyamide and whiting as filler (see col.2, lines 29-63).

Therefore, in view of Marini et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the joint sealing material of German'446 as modified by Donovan, by adding the filler in order to enhance the strength and sealing effect.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other reference cited disclose a winding device and a pipe-threaded sealing tape reel with tape retarding element.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jong-Suk (James) Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7044. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 3:00 pm, Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jong-Suk (James) Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

/jjl December 14, 2005